

HARRY HUGHES

Governor

KALMAN R. HETTLEMAN

Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

> 383-5032 - DECISION -

BOARD OF APPEALS

JOHN J. KENT Chairman

HENRY G. SPECTOR HAZEL A. WARNICK

DECISION NO.:

0634-SE-80

Associate Members

DATE:

SEVERN E. LANIER

June 13,

198 Appeals Counsel

CLAIMANT:

Carolyn K. Tasker

APPEAL NO .:

259568

S. S. NO.:

EMPLOYER:

Garrett Mfg. Co. , Inc.

L. O NO.:

1 4

APPELLANT:

EMPLOYER

ISSUE:

Whether the Claimant was unemployed within the meaning of Section 20(1) of the Law.

NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT

YOU MAY FILE AN APPEAL FROM THIS DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITHIN LAWS OF MARYLAND. THE APPEAL MAYBE TAKEN IN PERSON OR THROUGH AN ATTORNEY IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY, OR THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY IN MARYLAND IN WHICH YOU RESIDE.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING AN APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT

July 13, 1980

APPEARANCES –

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

Alemeda B . Sweitzer - President Local 334 Connie Weaver Anna Virts Harold Burkholder -International Union Rep.

Dwight Stover -Attorney Oma Everly Witness

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Claimant is employed by Garrett Manufacturing Company of Deer Park, Maryland. She is a member of Local 334 of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union (I.L.G.W.U.).

The Employer closed the plant for the Christmas and New Year holidays from December 23, 1979, through January 5, 1980. The decision to close the plant was made by the president of the company and was not required by the collective bargaining agreement under which the company and the union were then operating.

The Claimant received holiday pay for Christmas day and for New Year's day. This payment was required by the existing collective bargaining agreement.

The Claimant also received a length of service payment of 3% of her yearly earnings for the previous year. This payment was made by a-check dated December 1, 1979, from the Health Fund of the I.L.G.W.U. The payment was received by the Claimant on December 4, 1979.

The money from which this length of service payment is made, is paid directly to the I.L.G.W.U. by customers of the Employer out of moneys due to the Employer for goods processed and delivered by the Employer.

The length of service benefit is paid to employees regardless of whether or not they work during the Christmas and New Year holiday. A length of service benefit was paid when the employees of the Garrett Manufacturing Company worked during the week of Christmas and New Year's approximately five years ago.

The Claimant was subsequently denied benefits by the Claims Examiner under Section 20(1) and 4 on the theory that the receipt of the length of service benefit payment constituted vacation pay attributable to the week of December 23, 1979, through December 29, 1979. This decision was made because the Employer had reported to the Claims Examiner that it was closed for vacation during the week of December 23, 1979, through January 5, 1980, and that the employees who worked three years or more had been paid vacation pay for the week ending December 29, 1979, out of the Health Fund.

COMMENTS

The Board of Appeals, after considering all of the evidence in the case, finds that the length of service payment which was made to the Claimant on December 4, 1979, was a bonus and as such, constituted wages within the meaning of Section 20(n) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law. Wages within the definition include all remuneration for personal services. including commissions and bonuses. The fact that the money was paid to the Claimant by the Health Fund, does not change the fact that it is money paid to her by reason of her personal services to the Employer throughout the previous year.

The Board finds that the Claimant was unemployed within the meaning of Section 20(1) and 4 of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law, for the two week period. The decision to close down the plant was made by the president of the company and was not required by any contract between the union (on behalf of the Claimant) and the Employer. The Board further finds that the money received by the Claimant as pay for regularly scheduled holidays of Christmas and New Year's, should be deducted from any unemployment insurance paid to the Claimant for these two weeks, and any overpayment is recoverable by the agency under Section 17(d) of Article 95A.

DECISION

The Claimant was partially unemployed within the meaning of Section 20(1) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law for the weeks ending December 29, 1979, and January 5, 1980. She is entitled" to partial unemployment insurance benefits for each of those weeks, less the amount of the holiday pay.

The Claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits for each of the weeks ending December 29, 1979, and January 5, 1979, which is recoverable under Section 17(d) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law.

The decision of the Appeals Referee is modified to this extent.

Chairman

Associate Member

dh (Williamson) K:W

DATE OF HEARING: April 25, 1980

COPIES MAILED TO:

CLAIMANT

EMPLOYER.

Harold Burkholder, Union Rep. 1 N. Howard St. Baltimore, Maryland 21201 - 4 -

Dwight Stover

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE - OAKLAND



STATE OF MARYLAND
HARRY HUGHES
Governor
KALMAN R. HETTLEMAN
Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201
383-5040

BOARD OF APPEALS

JOHN J. KENT Chairman

HENRY G. SPECTOR MORTON C. POLLACK Associate Members

SEVERN E. LANIER Appeals Counsel

GARY SMITH Chief Hearings Officer

- DECISION -

DATE:

Mar. 5, 1980

DATE:

Mar. 5,

APPEAL NO:

259568

S. S. NO.:

EMPLOYER:

CLAIMANT:

Garrett MFG. Company Inc.

Carolyn K. Tasker

L.O.NO.:

14

APPELLANT:

Claimant

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was unemployed within the meaning of

Section 20(1) of the Law.

NOTICE OF RIGHT OF FURTHER APPEAL

ANY INTERESTED PARTY TO THIS DECISION MAY REQUEST A FURTHER APPEAL AND SUCH APPEAL MAY BE FILED IN ANY EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OFFICE, OR WITH THE APPEALS DIVISION, ROOM 511, 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201, EITHER IN PERSON OR BY MAIL.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING A FURTHER APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT ON

Mar. 14, 1980

Oma Everly

Mildred Dillie

- APPEARANCES -

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

Almeda B. Sweitzer Connie Weaver Anna Virts Harold Burkholder-International Union Rep. (I. L. G. W. A.)

FINDINGS OF FACT

The claimant is one of a number of employees of Garret Mfg. Company Inc., who is a member of Local #334 of the International Ladies Garment Workers of America. Pursuant to the employer employee agreement, individuals who have met certain criteria. primarily having achieved three years of service, are eligible for a length of service bonus check. This check represents a

The bonus is determined after December 1, of the year and in the instance of this claimant, was issued on December 4, 1979, by check dated December 1, 1979.

Subsequent to this bonus and issuance of the check, the employer closed for a two week period of time because of the holidays. The close down began December 23, and continued until January 5, 1980. There was work available but work was curtailed because of the holiday close down.

The individual checks "were not issued as representing any specific pay period or allocation aside from having been determined on the longevity and length of service qualification; vacation pay checks issued prior to other, regularly scheduled vacations had been allocated to the vacation period it self. The individual involved would have received the benefit check whether or not there was a subsequent curtailment of employment and shut down.

The claimant was considered as not unemployed within the meaning of Section 4 and $20\,(1)$ of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law by the Local Reporting Office far the first of the two week period shut down, based upon the receipt of the above bonus payment.

COMMENTS

In considering the determination on appeal, Section 20(1) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law, the Referee notes that " an individual shall be deemed unemployed in any week during which he performs no services, and with respect to which no wages were payable to him...". Since the benefits were earned or accumulated to the credit of the individual, if the benefits were to be considered as vacation or holiday pay, such could be considered wages (since the unemployment resulted from a vacation or holiday shut down and) pursuant to section 20(N) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law, bonuses can be considered as wages.

In considering the testimony and evidence closely, and in the thoughtful applications the cited sections of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law, coincidental with the appropriate sections of the Code of Maryland Regulations (primarily Section 07.04.02.09 Bl, which indicates that vacation pay is wages and therefore deductible,) the Referee finds that the payment of the longevity bonus was not vacation pay and therefore deductible wages under the above Sections. Additionally, considering the "lack of allocation of the benefits and the receipt of such prior to the individual separation from employment and prior to the individuals being in, claim status, the Referee concludes" that even if the bonus were to be considered wages, the issuance and receipt by the claimant prior to the unemployment or separation (prior to the being in claim status) would not be disqualifying during the subsequent period unemployment and entry into claim status following the application for benefits, but would be deductable as earned.

DECISION

The claimant was unemployed within the meaning of Section 20(1) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law, for the period time beginning December 23, 1979, through December 29, 1979, inclusive.

The claimant shall be entitled to the receipt of Unemployment insurance Benefits beginning December. 23, 1979, if she is otherwise qualified under the Law.

The Claims Examiner's determination is reversed.

Arthur J. Novotny Jr.

Date-of Hearing: 2/20/80

rc/Williamson Cassette No. 869 copies mailed to:

> Claimant Employer

Unemployment Insurance - Oakland