IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM	* BEFORE BRIAN PATRICK WEEKS,
OF OLUSEUN ODEYALE,	* AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
CLAIMANT	* OF THE MARYLAND OFFICE
AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME	* OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND	*
FOR THE ALLEGED ACTS OR	*
OMISSIONS OF JEFFERSON	*
BARIOTO,	* OAH No.: LABOR-HIC-02-22-06120
T/A JLB REMODELING LLC,	* MHIC No.: 22 (75) 25
RESPONDENT	*

## PROPOSED DECISION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
ISSUES
SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT
DISCUSSION
PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RECOMMENDED ORDER

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On September 3, 2021, Oluseun Odeyale (Claimant) filed a claim (Claim) with the Maryland Home Improvement Commission (MHIC) Guaranty Fund (Fund), under the jurisdiction of the Department of Labor (Department), for reimbursement of \$16,687.50 for actual losses allegedly suffered as a result of a home improvement contract with Jefferson Barioto, trading as JLB Remodeling LLC (Respondent). Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401 to

nad anwaren gall te har regele og her i i i har kalag ka her flæmma sammer. A STANDARD CONTRACTOR OF THE STANDARD OF THE S The state of the state of the state of 计分类形式 医基本 多的第三人称单数的形式 原屬 The factor of the second the control of the state of the control of the cont Line of the transfer of the second of the se tion and the state of the company of the property of the company of the company of the company of the company of and the state of the contract A Property of Annal Service and Annal Service Services and Annal Servi

-411 (2015).<sup>1</sup> On March 2, 2022, the MHIC issued a Hearing Order on the Claim. On March 17, 2022, the MHIC forwarded the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for a hearing.

On May 16, 2022, I held a hearing at the OAH in Hunt Valley, Maryland. Bus. Reg. §§ 8-407(a), 8-312. Hope Sachs, Assistant Attorney General, Department, represented the Fund. The Claimant represented himself. The Respondent failed to appear.

After waiting fifteen minutes for the Respondent or the Respondent's representative to appear, I proceeded with the hearing. Applicable law permits me to proceed with a hearing in a party's absence if that party fails to attend after receiving proper notice. Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 28.02.01.23A. On March 29, 2022, the OAH provided a Notice of Hearing (Notice) to the Respondent by United States mail, return receipt requested. COMAR 09.08.03.03A(2); COMAR 28.02.01.05C(1). The Notice stated that a hearing was scheduled for May 16, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at the OAH in Hunt Valley. The Notice further advised the Respondent that failure to attend the hearing might result in "a decision against you."

The OAH received a signed copy of the return receipt acknowledging receipt of the Notice on April 6, 2022. The Respondent did not notify the OAH of any change of mailing address. COMAR 28:02.01.03E. The Respondent made no request for postponement prior to the date of the hearing. COMAR 28:02.01.16. I determined that the Respondent received proper notice, and I proceeded to hear the captioned matter. COMAR 28:02.01.05A, C.

The contested case provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department's hearing regulations, and the Rules of Procedure of the OAH govern procedure. Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §§ 10-201 through 10-226 (2021); COMAR 09.01.03; and COMAR 28.02.01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise noted, all references to the Business Regulation Article are to the 2015 Replacement Volume of the Maryland Annotated Code.

Elebera (University C.) vi, diskut sun anem san je se popularije se were and the second of the sec Approximate the state of the st en en la la la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa del la completa del la completa del la completa della della completa della completa della completa della complet AND THE RESERVE OF THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY er kan de financia de de de la companio de la comp a de la filipa de la filipa de la companione de la compan The second of the control of the second of t o anamakan din din din amagam<del>u</del>ni anak mgi ilika anak s and the complete the second of the state of the s I have been a second of the contract of the co terror of the figure of the fi 1374 The same of the sa 

#### **ISSUES**

- 1. Did the Claimant sustain an actual loss compensable by the Fund as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions?
  - 2. If so, what is the amount of the compensable loss?

#### SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

## **Exhibits**

I admitted the following exhibits offered by the Claimant:

- Clmt. Ex. 1 Estimate, March 18, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 2 Check image, printed July 6, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 3 Emails between the Claimant, Natasha Adams (the Claimant's wife), and the Respondent, various dates
- Clmt. Ex. 4 Emails between the Claimant's wife and Howard County Department of Inspections, various dates
- Clmt. Ex. 5 Texts between the Claimant's wife and the Respondent, various dates
- Clmt. Ex. 6 Invoice, April 1, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 7 Email from the Respondent to the Claimant's wife with attached executed Invoice, May 6, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 8 Email from the Respondent to the Department, November 18, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 9 Email from Jisoo Kim, Esquire, to the Claimant, February 9, 2022
- Clmt. Ex. 10 Letter from Samuel D. Williamowsky, Esquire, to the Claimant with attached court filings from the Circuit Courts for Howard and Carroll Counties, April 6, 2022

The Respondent failed to appear and did not offer any documents.

I admitted the following exhibits offered by the Fund:

- Fund Ex. 1 Notice of Hearing with attached Hearing Order, March 29, 2022
- Fund Ex. 2 Respondent's licensing history, accessed May 11, 2022
- Fund Ex. 3 Letter from the Fund to the Respondent with attached Claim, September 10, 2021

Calendaria (Control of the Control o to the same of the action of the first terms No transfer the formation with the formation of the contract o 2. 聚氰合物 \$1. 2. 基础 5. 2. 2. 4. 2. 2. သည့် သန်းများကြုံသည်။ မောင်းမြောက်သို့ မော်များသည်။ သည် သည် သည် သည် သည် သည်။ og forske om krisk och bligging so ing that the first the first that the second of the first the first terms of the section period of the section is a section Court of the garden to be a little was beginning. The Control House and a second things have a supplied by 

#### **Testimony**

The Claimant testified and did not present other witnesses.

The Respondent failed to appear and thus did not testify.

The Fund did not present any testimony.

#### PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

I find the following facts by a preponderance of the evidence:

- 1. At all times relevant to the subject of this hearing, the Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor.
- 2. On or around April 1, 2021, the Claimant and the Respondent entered into a contract to demolish an existing deck, and build a sunroom and a concrete patio (Contract).
  - 3. The original agreed-upon Contract price was \$33,375.00.
  - 4. The Contract did not specify when the work would begin.
  - 5. On April 2, 2021, the Claimant paid the Respondent \$16,687.50.
  - 6. The Respondent never began the work required by the Contract.
- 7. On June 17, 2021, the Claimant emailed the Respondent and informed him that, due to delays in the start of work, he had decided to withdraw from the Contract and requested a refund of the deposit.
- 8. On July 19, 2021, the Respondent emailed the Claimant that "[a]ll transactions are pending from the distributors." The Respondent did not communicate with the Claimant after this date and never returned the deposit.
- 9. The Claimant obtained a judgment against the Respondent, but has not been able to collect the judgment amount to date.

and the control of the first section with the training of the country and the second the fall of the first seems of the and the control of the second n e di i de estis Mittelief in the general manifes in the Mandale and a considerate relative species or production of the control of the production of the production of the control o teres to the first of the contract of the cont Called Safe Charles Control of Control of the fitted at the transfer dament and because the fitted from the contract of the second state of total i kalamatakoa 💎 erinteka je 🛊 totalah jerkat and the contract of the second of the second of g in specific constant

#### **DISCUSSION**

The Claimant has the burden of proving the validity of the Claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e)(1); Md. Code Ann., State Gov't § 10-217 (2021); COMAR 09.08.03.03A(3). To prove a claim by a preponderance of the evidence means to show that it is "more likely so than not so" when all the evidence is considered. Coleman v. Anne Arundel Cty. Police Dep't, 369 Md. 108, 125 n.16 (2002).

An owner may recover compensation from the Fund "for an actual loss that results from an act or omission by a licensed contractor." Bus. Reg. § 8-405(a); see also COMAR 09.08.03.03B(2) ("The Fund may only compensate claimants for actual losses . . . incurred as a result of misconduct by a licensed contractor."). "[A]ctual loss' means the costs of restoration, repair, replacement, or completion that arise from an unworkmanlike, inadequate, or incomplete home improvement." Bus. Reg. § 8-401. For the following reasons, I find that the Claimant has proven eligibility for compensation.

The evidence shows that the Respondent abandoned the Contract. The Claimant and Respondent executed the Contract on April 1, 2021. The following day, the Claimant paid a deposit of \$16,687.50 to the Respondent. The Respondent never commenced the work required by the Contract. Frustrated by the delay, the Claimant terminated the Contract on July 19, 2021, and requested that the Respondent refund the deposit. The Respondent never returned the deposit. I thus find that the Claimant is eligible for compensation from the Fund.

Having found eligibility for compensation I must determine the amount of the Claimant's actual loss and the amount, if any, that the Claimant is entitled to recover.<sup>2</sup> MHIC's regulations provide three formulas to measure a claimant's actual loss, depending on the status of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Fund may not compensate a claimant for consequential or punitive damages, personal injury, attorney fees, court costs, or interest. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(3); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(1).

မြေသည်။ မြောင်းများများသည်။ မြေသည် မြေသည်။ မြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းများကြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းများကို မြောင် to the control of the left to the control of the control of the following of the first to the The first that the first that the same of the first party is the The Control of the state of the control of the state of t in Prince of the Section of the American and the property of the property of the property of the second of the se The probability of the probability of the contract of the cont and the state of t out the contract of the first of the contract parameters are a figure Constituting that the first the contract of the first transfer that the contract of the contra a manda of the gradient of the filter of the file of the figure of the file.

contract work. The Respondent abandoned the Contract without doing any work. Accordingly, the following formula appropriately measures the Claimant's actual loss: "If the contractor abandoned the contract without doing any work, the claimant's actual loss shall be the amount which the claimant paid to the contractor under the contract." COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(a). Here, the Claimant paid \$16,687.50 to the Respondent as a deposit. Therefore, the actual loss is \$16,687.50.

Effective July 1, 2022, a claimant's recovery is capped at \$30,000.00 for acts or omissions of one contractor, and a claimant may not recover more than the amount paid to the contractor against whom the claim is filed.<sup>3</sup> In this case, the Claimant's actual loss is equal to the amount paid to the Respondent and less than \$30,000.00. Therefore, the Claimant is entitled to recover his actual loss of \$16,687.50.

#### PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I conclude that the Claimant has sustained an actual and compensable loss of \$16,687.50 as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401, 8-405 (2015); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(a). I further conclude that the Claimant is entitled to recover that amount from the Fund.

#### RECOMMENDED ORDER

I RECOMMEND that the Maryland Home Improvement Commission:

ORDER that the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund award the Claimant \$16,687.50; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H.D. 917, 2022 Leg., 444th Sess. (Md. 2022) (to be codified in Section 8-405(e)(1) of the Business Regulation Article). See also Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(5); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4), D(2)(a). The increased cap is applicable to any claim on or after July 1, 2022, regardless of when the home improvement contract was executed, the claim was filed, or the hearing was held. See Landsman v. MHIC, 154 Md. App. 241, 255 (2002) (explaining that the right to compensation from the Fund is a "creature of statute," these rights are subject to change at the "whim of the legislature," and "[a]mendments to such rights are not bound by the usual presumption against retrospective application").

to the second of , , , , , and the community of th and the control of the control of the second and the control of th and the second second adverse property and adverse the second second second and the second second as the second second second as the second se in the second of the second second the second of the secon I will be the second of the se I see the second to the second and the second the transfer that the second the second and the sec La Miller par les regions defends l'apparentes par les les les les les les propries. Marine Marine Committee of Marine (1994) entitus Recording the confidence of a transfer for the first contribution and the second control of the second control min this car was a second of the second of t The state of the s 

and the second age of the second

ORDER that the Respondent is ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement Commission license until the Respondent reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order, plus annual interest of ten percent (10%) as set by the Maryland Home Improvement Commission;<sup>4</sup> and

ORDER that the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission reflect this decision.

July 7, 2022 Date Decision Issued

Brian Patrick Weeks Administrative Law Judge

Brian Patrick Weeks

BPW/dlm #199376

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-410(a)(1)(iii) (2015); COMAR 09.08.01.20.

•			
•		•	
	la Ara II ii ii '		
		e de militar de la company	
(			
	* # * *		i Ngjaran a Marajan ng Indon
•	1		
	neg strik		
•			
•			
		and the second s	er de la companya de La companya de la co
•			
			••
•			
	: .		
	÷		
	•		
,	. '		
	7	2.5	
·			
	,		
		i i	
	: : :		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		and the second of the second	Haraka da karangan da karang
		•.	
•			

# PROPOSED ORDER

WHEREFORE, this 19th day of August, 2022, Panel B of the Maryland

Home Improvement Commission approves the Recommended Order of the

Administrative Law Judge and unless any parties files with the Commission

within twenty (20) days of this date written exceptions and/or a request to present

arguments, then this Proposed Order will become final at the end of the twenty

(20) day period. By law the parties then have an additional thirty (30) day period

during which they may file an appeal to Circuit Court.

<u>Joseph Tunney</u>

Joseph Tunney Chairman Panel B MARYLAND HOME IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION

