IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM	*	BEFORE JENNIFER M. CARTER JONES,
OF BAKTIAR KARIM	*	AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
CLAIMANT	*	OF THE MARYLAND OFFICE
AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME	*	OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND	*	
FOR THE ALLEGED ACTS OR	*	
OMISSIONS OF	*	
TYRONE ANDERSON	*	OAH No.: LABOR-HIC-02-21-00983
T/A DECK RENOVATIONS,	*	MHIC No.: 19 (90) 1455

PROPOSED DECISION

RESPONDENT

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
ISSUES
SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT
DISCUSSION
PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RECOMMENDED ORDER

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On or about April 22, 2020, Baktiar Karim (Claimant) filed a claim (Claim) with the Maryland Home Improvement Commission (MHIC) Guaranty Fund (Fund) under the jurisdiction of the Department of Labor (DOL)¹ for reimbursement of \$8,886.41 in alleged actual losses suffered as a result of a home improvement contract with Tyrone Anderson, T/A Deck

¹ On July 1, 2019, the Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation became the DOL.

ing the property of the engine of the contract of Later Control of the Control of t CHRISTIAN STRAFFING LANGE TO 集化 日本 经股份公司 医乳腺病 法等。这些证明的复数的 法不同的政治 COLATIA POR COLARO SEO RES 1966 CE 144 TOETHER R 。据"与国本"的自由等。2007年衰亡 GALLARY AND 13.14.0 **排**足的。 egas for a weath that the nation DEFENDED SERVICE DE or server of the metally as salt on the tell of the salt of the control of und the difference of the solution bear and department in the solution of the solution of tig and Lorge and the participation of control and an allocation and for the title of the control and the control and an analysis of an analy agen a fight go give a coffer til taller og vær vær i form of vigit flæde og vær byreffest ærden. rei in in die de designere begek residen de Gest in die S

Renovations (Respondent). Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401 through 8-411 (2015).² On November 2, 2020, the MHIC forwarded the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for a hearing. Bus. Reg. §§ 8-407(a), 8-312.

I held a hearing on March 2, 2021, using the Webex videoconferencing platform. Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e); Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 28.02.01.20B. John Hart, DOL Assistant Attorney General, represented the Fund. The Claimant represented himself. The Respondent failed to appear for the hearing.

After waiting fifteen minutes for the Respondent or the Respondent's representative to appear, I proceeded with the hearing. Applicable law permits me to proceed with a hearing in a party's absence if that party fails to attend after receiving proper notice. COMAR 28.02.01.23A. On January 28, 2021, the OAH mailed, by regular and certified mail, a Notice of Remote Hearing (Notice) to the Respondent at his address of record with the MHIC on Trentworth Way in Clarksburg, Maryland. COMAR 09.08.03.03A(2). The regular mailing sent to the Respondent was not returned by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as undeliverable. Furthermore, the USPS forwarded to the OAH the green certified mailing card signed by the Respondent, verifying that the Respondent received the certified copy of the Notice. The Respondent made no request for postponement prior to the date of the hearing. COMAR 28.02.01.16. I determined that the Respondent received proper notice, and I proceeded to hear the captioned matter.

The contested case provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, the DOL's hearing regulations, and the Rules of Procedure of the OAH govern procedure in this case. Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §§ 10-201 through 10-226 (2014 & Supp. 2020); COMAR 09.01.03; and COMAR 28.02.01.

² Unless otherwise noted, all references hereinafter to the Business Regulation Article are to the 2015 Replacement Volume of the Maryland Annotated Code.

or the engineer of the second of the second

The first production of the control of the control

The description of the second second

The same in the first section of the section of the

CAMINE ANTER COLLEGE COLLEGE CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL COLLEGE C

ISSUES

- 1. Did the Claimant sustain an actual loss compensable by the Fund as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions?
 - 2. If so, what is the amount of that loss?

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

Exhibits

I admitted the following exhibits on the Claimant's behalf:

- CL #1 Invoice, dated March 2, 2019, with attached payment summary, notes, and photographs of the back of the Claimant's home/deck
- CL #2 Various Receipts, Orders, Invoices, Checks and Pick up Confirmations, including the following:
 - Receipt from Home Depot for \$1,336.32, dated March 22, 2019
 - Home Depot Pick up Confirmation, dated May 20, 2019
 - Receipt from Home Depot for \$437.15, dated April 15, 2019
 - Home Depot Pick up Confirmation, dated May 20, 2019
 - Order from TW Perry for \$1,711.70, dated April 15, 2019
 - Invoice from TW Perry for \$1,000.94, dated March 28, 2019
 - Emails between the Claimant and the Respondent, dated March 24 and 27, 2019
 - Check for \$3,500.00 from the Claimant to the Respondent, dated March 2, 2019
- CL #3 Various Receipts, Orders, Invoices, Checks and Pick up Confirmations, including the following:
 - Home Depot Merchandise and Service Summary for \$6,244.25, dated July 25, 2019
 - Charge Summary from Outdoor Specialties for \$1,405.58, dated August 6, 2019
 - Permit Invoice for \$165.00, Frederick County Division of Planning and Permitting, Department of Permits and Inspections, dated July 2, 2019
 - Sales Order from Outdoor Specialties for \$71.62, stamped paid, dated August 14, 2019
 - Twelve Home Depot receipts, various dates
 - Copy of a check from the Claimant to Elmer Damian for \$1,000.00, dated July 10, 2019

Challength a section and a factor of the feet and the feet of the

The first in the control of the control

44.00

- Blacker Margidas Caller of the experience of experiences

generalisment og en kommer frammer fram helde skipper fram en ste former i skipper i skipper i skip Framskripper framskrip

productive to the control of the productive of the section of the control of the

Apart Tiller och til blev til för still statisten blev til statisten b

Constant of the Constant of th

Quality of the second of the property of the second of the property of the second of the property of the second of

Fridake Brown Commence (18) is replicated built to bit. The Private of the first o

A HARAD LATER OF THE STREET OF

and the contraction of the property of the second of the contraction o

og gament for an general eggeneral transfer har eight for the fill of a solve of the order. The fill of the common of the entre transfer has been solved to the common transfer of the entre of the entre

and property of the property o

dated July 17, 2019 • Copy of a check from the Claimant to Elmer Damian for \$400.00, dated August 3, 2019 Copy of a check from the Claimant to Elmer Damian for \$1,000.00, dated August 4, 2019 • Copy of a check from the Claimant to Elmer Damian for \$500.00, dated August 4, 2019 Copy of a check from the Claimant to Elmer Damian for \$500.00, dated August 16, 2019 Receipts for refunds the Claimant received from PNC Bank, Home Depot, CL #4 and Lowe's, various dates Building Permit 196879, Frederick County Building Department, with an CL #5 expiration date of July 3, 2020 Estimate from Yovany H. Contractor, LLC, dated July 1, 2019 Cl. #6 Inspection Report, Frederick County Department of Planning and CL #7 Permitting, dated April 9, 2019 Screenshot from the Claimant's cell phone of an outgoing call to the CL #8 Respondent, undated Estimate from Robert Altendorf, RJ Construction and Remodeling of CL #9 Maryland, Inc., dated June 26, 2019 Eight photographs of various elements of deck construction, taken in May CL #10 2019 List of Expenses and Refunds created by the Claimant, undated CL #11 Letter from the Claimant addressed to "Dear Honorable Judge," dated CL #12 February 8, 2021 I admitted the following exhibits on behalf of the Fund: Notice, dated January 28, 2021 Fund #1 MHIC Fund Hearing Order, dated January 7, 2021 Fund #2 Home Improvement Claim Form, dated April 22, 2020, and letter from the Fund #3 MHIC to the Respondent, dated April 29, 2020 Fund #4 Licensing History, dated February 28, 2021

• Copy of a check from the Claimant to Elmer Damian, for \$500.00,

	4	A 1	
			:
en en skriver en en englen plaket i bes	1. 数据的规则。		
en e	\$6.00 mm	44.136	
ing and the second of the seco			
ga ga Primer sum grif nen Eliza njas			
and the second of the second o		Problem Commencer Problem (Bright)	
and the first of the second of	ระหาดในเป็นสมัย 200 (ช่วยใก้) หายสมัย 200 ค.ศ.	n hite book i Lean Book	
gardour (i.e., press) paul, bria www.b.ik.			
For Early Aller and Alley of	editor e electronica	1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
Parigo in speliti na mno disabigati i gir T			Cyc. Cy
် မျိုးသော ရှိသော သည် အပြေချ <mark>ားမှာပါတဲ့ ၆</mark> ၁		official Orbital Soft objects	\$\$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2
्राप्त के द्वारा के किया के अपने के प्राप्त के किया है। संस्थित	t de de la composition della c		V-33
April 1995 and 1995 a	nasta Angelia de de de		
terretas com calibyra yylikya			
en e	Provide Control (1980) (1980) 1980)		10 m 3 b
torial de la companya de la companya La companya de la co			
		ate ma r nove	
	ride ja Pilongaliseen e	a in the state of	
The set is a period of the second of the first of the second of the seco	ran et erdagik bili sepili. Lampaga perdagan kilo		Andrews
		un edal I e	in that "

Testimony

The Claimant testified on his own behalf.

No one appeared to testify on behalf of the Respondent.

The Fund presented no witnesses.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

I find the following facts by a preponderance of the evidence:

- 1. At all times relevant to the subject of this hearing, the Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor under MHIC license number 113379.
 - 2. As of March 2, 2019, the Claimant's home had a small back deck.
- 3. On or about March 2, 2019, the Claimant and the Respondent entered into a contract (Contract) for the Respondent to build a deck on the back of the Claimant's home. The scope of work for the project included the following:

26x14 deck with railing built as a Free standing deck.

Approximate height from ground is 3-5 feet.

Girder and joists to be pressure-treated with blocking over girder and joist hangers at ends.

Decking to be 5/4" x 6 lumber with wood grain.

Stairway for exit down and exit up.

Deck with notch with old deck and extend.

CL #1.

- 4. The agreed-upon price for the deck construction was \$15,029.00.
- 5. The Claimant paid the Respondent \$3,500.00 on March 2, 2019.
- 6. After the Claimant paid the Respondent \$3,500.00, the Respondent asked for an additional \$1,500.00 to buy materials. The Claimant gave the Respondent his credit card number over the phone so he could buy the necessary materials.
 - 7. The Licensee charged \$1,500.00 on the Claimant's credit card.

				•
			5. 3. 交套建设。	•
	i Digital and d	ិតជា ទី១ស្ត្រីស្រួន សក	े बेर्नास के स्टाउँ	
	Ared Agrom to	nji e na ki bisa ng		
		e on Oppgräder	ing a salah di kacamatan di Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Ka Kabupatèn Kabupatèn	· ·
	ranger (n. 1925) 1934 - Harris II., de la serie (n. 1935) 1934 - Harris II., de la serie (n. 1935)	et i more di Controlle	: :	
्राटः अस्ति क्रांस्		un Kiji karatis s		
Segretation is extended to the extrapolation		re de quentr (
\$1178.47%			purpose programa. Purpose programa	
	i ngi sibuata (1911)	and the second	· 第	
janto alem terlegisti (hijima)		hegild that hegel	3 (1) 3 (1) 4 (1)	
en jaron er	gerina ing jerunjak sar	onggaza en ofici	rom <mark>oč</mark> , tronska s	•
		លីក កំណុំ ក្រាស្ត្រភិវិទ កំពាន់	4.4 %, White Project	•
		para da	Laurent A. La	· ·
and the second of the second o				
	ાલેટલું હું અલ્ફાંટ ૧૩ સ્ટુલ્સ્ટ્રે	e orderen i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
	.ស្. នៅសង់សង្គាំក			
in the state of th	'			
	ki pir k ak en geri er Kulos komune berer			
ng recolling theory of the self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-	•			
on the state of the section of the s	The state of the s			
en e				
	i de la companya de l			

- 8. On March 22, 2019, the Respondent charged \$1,336.32 at Home Depot on the Claimant's credit card without authorization from the Claimant.
- 9. After learning of the unauthorized \$1,336.32 the Respondent charged to his credit card, the Claimant contacted the Respondent, who reported he charged that amount for small materials necessary to build the deck.
- 10. The Claimant told the Respondent not to charge his credit card again without the Claimant's authorization.
- 11. The Respondent made three more purchases, charging them to the Claimant's credit card; one on March 27 or 28, 2019 for 1,000.94 at TW Perry, a construction materials provider; one on April 15, 2019 for \$437.15 at Home Depot; and one on April 15, 2019 for \$1,711.70 at TW Perry.
- 12. After the Respondent charged \$1,000.94 on March 27, 2019 at TW Perry using the Claimant's credit card, the Claimant sent the Respondent an email noting the charge and directing him again not to use his credit card without authorization.
- 13. Much of the materials the Respondent purchased was not for constructing the Claimant's deck.
- 14. In April 2019, the Respondent sent three different crews to work on the deck construction.
- 15. There were problems with the deck construction. At least one of the brackets joining the wood for the deck was broken; the Respondent did not fill footings for the deck support beams and did not properly cut and join some of deck foundation wood.
- 16. On April 9 and May 10, 2019, the Frederick County Division of Planning and Permitting inspected the deck framing. The deck framing failed both of the inspections.

AND HOME OF WELL OF THE LEGISLES WITH THE REPORT OF THE CORNER OF THE CO in line di Perminagne dis di The fact of the metalism of the fact of efore and will govern the configuration of the state of the following business and the configuration of A Bendina ingani magniseron i light di dikeran ang digitada alah pelikur Land hand or resulting the bear atentina da esta cita de la servició de la sente de la seconició de la seconic and the state of the state of and the control of the firm of the large and the large of Table and the common of the first the faction of the control of th naka dan aring Manaya Kalaya Kalaya Kababatan Kalaya Balaya Kalaya Kababatan Kal opela en la distribilità della calcaba politica della compania della calcaba della calcaba della compania della and the second of the second o Credition beginning a differ in the first of the second and reference in the contract of the c la linger revigger retrium item gran staan it maa terligie retag wat intil betar oak bilita eta lihi in interi oferna man elegativa (1995) in inga mala (智能) in inga maka dipulap man alikaba (Milla Angli), (Billion) (An rapper a vietne i 1900 en en journal i folket ekstern en beskrift fra ekst. Eje kom en fra ekst. Eje kom en vie เทียงที่ 10 เมื่อสูงทาง การณ์ เพื่อนั้นได้ เหมืองเลือนในเป็นเกรมี การได้ และเป็น การต้องกำรับสังเดิม ๆ เลือให้ and section in the contribution was the first term which the contribution is a contribution of the contrib na na kaominina dia kaomini

- 17. On May 14 and 15, 2019, the Claimant sent text messages to the Respondent, asking him when he would come and finish the deck construction. The Respondent told the Claimant by text that he would return to work on the deck on May 17, 2019.
 - 18. The Respondent never returned to the Claimant's home.
- 19. The Respondent left wood and other supplies lying in the Claimant's yard. Some of the pieces of wood had nails protruding from them.
- 20. The Claimant paid the Respondent a total of \$9,486.11 to complete the deck, by check and by unauthorized charges to the Claimant's credit card.
 - 21. The value of the work the Respondent completed on the deck was \$600.00.
- 22. The Claimant sought an estimate from licensed contractor Robert Altendorf, who determined the cost to complete the deck would be \$22,000.00.
- 23. The Claimant completed deck construction himself, with the assistance of a handyman. The Claimant was only able to use about ten percent of the materials the Respondent originally used for the deck construction.
- 24. The Claimant spent \$9,488.27 to purchase the materials necessary to complete the deck.
 - 25. The Claimant paid the handyman \$3,900.00 for his assistance.
 - 26. The handyman was not a licensed contractor.
- 27. The Claimant obtained a permit to complete the deck construction on his own.

 This permit cost the Claimant \$165.00.
- 28. The Claimant received a refund of \$4,985.17 for some of the charges the Respondent made to his credit card.
- 29. The Claimant received \$1,342.99 in refunds from Home Depot for materials he returned.

a a transport of a configural representative for the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of and the contact of the figuration product and a six of a price of the contact of Chile i en est con net aparte i a con en energia de la prima de and the attended the state of their and the following telephone and the first of the state of th and the control of th The continue of the first that the continue to on given under grande in the factorial production which will be a set of the first ist, one disposed repared. As princes in construction is realized by the con-Audit · Mac Lata 8 Million (1) Compart the first compart to a state for compart for the first compart for the compa garrena grafikk izen ar diski riregolira arbii errik ezekarra ar 🔻 atalen eta erriki. The state of the transfer of the state of th of the control grade the contract of the desperation of the contract of the contract of the contract of นมัย | กระหาศักร์ หมักรับ ซากับ ตามหนึ่งกัก eri erangan, er i nga angelekongsa an Pasternya (P. Mir, er Color Free Control Color Color Central de la compacta del compacta de la compacta del compacta de la compacta del la compacta de la compacta del la compacta de la compacta en and with a province of all or surgices to be and grant and a satisfication of the same of a facility of the on the figure of the contract of the particle of the first of the firs in Market till og kome hjigkenern f and the particular to the particle of the property of the first of the particular of the particular form of the

DISCUSSION

The Claimant has the burden of proving the validity of his claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §10-217 (2014); COMAR 09.08.03.03A(3); COMAR 28.02.01.21K(1). "[A] preponderance of the evidence means such evidence which, when considered and compared with the evidence opposed to it, has more convincing force and produces . . . a belief that it is more likely true than not true." Coleman v. Anne Arundel Cty. Police Dep't, 369 Md. 108, 125 n.16 (2002).

An owner may recover compensation from the Fund "for an actual loss that results from an act or omission by a licensed contractor." Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(a); see also COMAR 09.08.03.03B(2) ("actual losses . . . incurred as a result of misconduct by a licensed contractor"). Actual loss "means the costs of restoration, repair, replacement, or completion that arise from an unworkmanlike, inadequate, or incomplete home improvement." Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-401 (2015 & Supp. 2020). For the following reasons, I find that the Claimant has proven eligibility for compensation.

Based on the unrefuted evidence, the Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor at the time he entered into the Contract with the Claimant. The Claimant paid the Respondent \$9,486.42 to construct a deck on the back of his home. Of this amount, the Claimant paid the Respondent \$3,500.00 by check; the balance is comprised of charges the Respondent made on the Claimant's credit card – ostensibly, for the purchase of materials necessary to complete the Claimant's deck.

According to the Claimant, in May 2019, the Respondent stopped working on the deck and never contacted the Claimant again. The Claimant further asserted that the work the Respondent did complete was unworkmanlike. In support of his position, the Claimant submitted photographs of the Respondent's work, which depicted a broken brace, wood installation that

appears to be unstable, and copies of two inspection reports stating that the deck framing the Respondent installed was insufficient to pass inspection. Additionally, the Claimant submitted an estimate from a licensed contractor, Yovany H Contractor LLC, which estimated the value of the work the Claimant completed was \$600.00. The Claimant also submitted an estimate from licensed contractor Robert Altendorf, who determined it would cost \$22,000.00 to complete the deck.

I conclude that the Respondent failed to complete the construction of the deck and the work he did complete was unworkmanlike and unusable. Accordingly, any amounts the Claimant paid the Respondent constitutes an actual loss, Furthermore, as a result of the Respondent's incomplete and unworkmanlike work on the deck, the Claimant had to pay an additional \$9,488,27 to obtain the materials necessary to complete the deck and \$3,900.00 to pay a handyman to assist him with the deck construction. The Claimant concedes that he obtained a refund for \$4,985.17 in purchases the Respondent made on the Claimant's credit card. He was also able to obtain \$1,342.99 in refunds from Home Depot by returning some of the materials the Respondent purchased. The Claimant was able to recoup \$6,328.16 of the \$9,486.11 he paid to the Respondent and the Respondent charged to his credit card. Accordingly, the amount the Claimant paid to or on behalf of the Respondent was \$3,157.95.

The Respondent stopped working on the deck and never returned to the Claimant's property to complete the project. The Claimant obtained an estimate from another contractor, Robert Altendorf, who estimated that the cost to complete the deck in a workmanlike fashion would be \$22,000.00. The Claimant testified, without challenge, that Mr. Altendorf told him that it is much more expensive to complete such a job when the initial contractor's work is unworkmanlike and requires removal of the inadequate work and materials. Accordingly, I conclude that the following formula appropriately measures the Claimant's actual loss: "If the

grant to the contract of the state of the st

ာ မေလာက္ကုန္ကုန္မွာ ညွတ္ေသည္။ ညွတ္တြင္း

रक्षात्रकृत्वे केथा को अस्तिकार के क्षात्र । जनका अन्यकार केशक केश कर रोक्षक करते । उसमा अस्ति का अस्ति का अस् apa sega**n**agija appetingas ataun tepat jagiki ni ikangan kapatiten tigat pelatiten i g virtuagan i maka da kadang sabas karah [1] Kadifi affari dafiri i gala jagu njakistaka da da sinta

u magijak gaptijenje di isaa promon kan ngaye jekanom kipigarini igo ugin kumestin, maja atip atil File at Alice to the grown and received to the grown and in the alice man went a region hope and ्रक्षेत्रकार हो, कुछ रहतिक पुरस्कार करिएको 🕝 जिल्लाहो जिल्ला स्थितिक स्थापन स्थेत प्रसार । १८ 🖯 १८ जन स्थितिक है। ्रा । प्रकारकाके भारतीय के प्राप्त के विश्व के कहा है। अपने क्षेत्रिक के स्थान के अधिक है।

The production of the contract of the contract of the contract of the and the company of the confidence and address of the confidence of कारकारिको कर्ता हुन्यु हु केरका कुर्कार कर कर्ता कर संस्था । उसके विकास हुन दिल्ला कर्ता कर स्थाप कुर्का विकास sample of a second with the first the contract of the contract The state of the s promiting 100 可能是100% 中国的企业技术中国的企业中国的企业中国的企业中的企业的。 Solve than greater of the colored by the experience of the first of the first of the colored by the colored by and the telegraph of the hander property of the sole of the first of the common most than

कराज्यक्षेत्रे राज्यसा प्रमाणे हे. राष्ट्रोस्त । सी अस्तु पर्या स्थान स्थान । सामित्री स्थान हो राष्ट्रा हिस्स करिया । इस एक्टर के प्राप्त के किया है। जो किया है किया है किया है किया के किया है कि किया किया है जिसके हैं कि Bergerbaght and a higher children of the work and the first of a first contract of the white the first मुक्तिभावती क्षानामुक्त बोला कर यो जा हुई हैका । योगा यो अध्यक्ति में एक जाना है से अध्यक्ति के अ ethicare conference experience extinue express which exclusive as section was the exclusive consistence in the

्या होते हुन् क्रांत्रकोकी हर<mark>ी</mark> सुक्ष विकास निवास । यो अपन्युक्त सो वयस द्वी राज

contractor did work according to the contract and the claimant has solicited or is soliciting another contractor to complete the contract, the claimant's actual loss shall be the amounts the claimant has paid to or on behalf of the contractor under the original contract, added to any reasonable amounts the claimant has paid or will be required to pay another contractor to repair poor work done by the original contractor under the original contract and complete the original contract, less the original contract price." COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c).

The Claimant paid the Respondent \$3,157.95. Although the Claimant ultimately completed the deck at his own expense with the help of a handyman, neither the Claimant nor the handyman are licensed contractors. According to the estimate the Claimant obtained from Mr. Altendorf, the Claimant would have been required to pay \$22,000.00 to demolish the existing inadequate deck and complete the installation of a new deck. Thus, the Claimant's actual loss is calculated as follows:

Actual Loss	\$10,128.95	
Original Contract Price	-\$15,029.00	
	\$25,157.95	. :
Amount required for a licensed contractor to repair the poor work and complete the contract	+\$22,000.00	
Amount Paid to the Respondent	\$ 3,157.95	

The Business Regulation Article caps a claimant's recovery at \$20,000.00 for acts or omissions of one contractor and provides that a claimant may not recover more than the amount paid to the contractor against whom the claim is filed. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1), (5); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4), D(2)(a). As the Claimant paid the Respondent \$3,157.95, that is the amount of his actual loss and he is entitled to recover that amount from the Fund.

the content of the month of the end only only one through the month of the content of the Particle of the contract trace of the contract AND FOR THE SECOND nemes the grade and the mests The lateral section is a second property of the control of the con 化海绵铁质 心的阴极的 医克拉氏征

the the second for these state makes Barutan inggrid ya katalog dan J Private Cartille School

त्राप्त । याचन १९२२ हेराब के**राष**्टेर के सुन् विकास है किया कर के लेक <mark>विकाद पूर्वा</mark>कर है है । एक स्टार्ट केरावाक

providence on the provided property of the training provided the constitution of the c मध्यक्षी प्रदारण मात्र र बेक्स म्हा प्रति अक्षा प्रति के किया के प्रदेश के किया है। कि के किया किया प्रति के किया प्रति के प्रति के किया किया है।

ta brasili simba (Kara). Par ilika di mbar, di besil di kata da kata da kata da kata da kata da kata da kata d entranspersion of the property of the property

हिमार र सर्विकेश किन्द्र गर्व क्या । ए किन्निक पुरस्तिक प्रमानिक एक विकास र स्वां कानक the manufactures the talk materials of the contribution of the formalism sales and for the same of their tiko jiji o kida gradenset akti in ini. pingga i di engangizhesi in da akti akti yaka. engline and the frame is a soften for the service of the finite profession of the con-

के भा करिया प्राप्त । केंद्र एक तक्का है के निकार का राज्य किया <mark>कार का लोग के ने कर समु</mark>धा गाएं । कि कर हा एउका

PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I conclude that the Claimant has sustained an actual and compensable loss of \$3,157.95 as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401, 8-405 (2015); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c). I further conclude that the Claimant is entitled to recover that amount from the Fund.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

I **RECOMMEND** that the Maryland Home Improvement Commission:

ORDER that the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund award the Claimant \$3,157.95; and

ORDER that the Respondent is ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement

Commission license until the Respondent reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order, plus annual interest of ten percent (10%) as set by the Maryland Home

Improvement Commission;³ and

ORDER that the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission reflect this decision.

May 5, 2021
Date Decision Issued

CONFIDENTIAL

Jennifer M. Carter Jones Administrative Law Judge

JCJ/emh #191941

^{3.} See Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-410(a)(1)(iii); COMAR 09.08.01.20.

Part I will be been at the property for the following it is recorded and interested their two confinences of a office figure of a Confillage to a of Digital anometers to brown a more described that the state of the ga or raingt better processing the programming a trapped in a file of the social file of the district of Charles Court Leavenie William North Republication the stage of the second of significant recommend on the significant and the second significant in the second significant significant in the second significant signifi on all the community of the control BALLED YEAR ora en recognition (AC), con la cariffe e altraviações a descripción de agin (Constillé todanje i se zeo bio o vivit gravniki zil zeorekala o be zasti ilijalig, onjekt na zilomoli reallibrations and planting a feet the expension and a personal design of the control of the control tika ^kyawan safamma mengajai Leafer Company and [d] 1824年,1月1日新 n i e di wai ten Yang ing ing

The state of the country of the second secon

<u>PROPOSED ORDER</u>

WHEREFORE, this 28th day of June, 2021, Panel B of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission approves the Recommended Order of the Administrative Law Judge and unless any parties files with the Commission within twenty (20) days of this date written exceptions and/or a request to present arguments, then this Proposed Order will become final at the end of the twenty (20) day period. By law the parties then have an additional thirty (30) day period during which they may file an appeal to Circuit Court.

<u>Joseph Tunney</u>

Joseph Tunney
Chairman
Panel B
MARYLAND HOME IMPROVEMENT
COMMISSION

