BOARD OF APPEALS 1100 North Eutaw Street, Room 515 Baltimore, MD 21201 Donna Watts-Lamont, Chairperson

-DECISION-

Claimant:

Employer:

Decision No.:

3309-BR-11

PASCAL NNADI C NNADI

Date:

July 8, 2011

Appeal No.:

1042119

S.S. No.:

L.O. No.:

61

Appellant:

Claimant

Whether the claimant is able, available for work and actively seeking work within the meaning of the MD Code Annotated, Labor and Employment Article, Title 8 Sections 903 and 904; and/or whether the claimant is entitled to sick claim benefits within the meaning of Section 8-907.

- NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT -

You may file an appeal from this decision in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City or one of the Circuit Courts in a county in Maryland. The court rules about how to file the appeal can be found in many public libraries, in the <u>Maryland Rules of Procedure</u>, Title 7, Chapter 200.

The period for filing an appeal expires: August 8, 2011

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

After a review on the record, the Board adopts the following findings of fact and conclusions of law and reverses the hearing examiner's decision:

The claimant is currently enrolled as a student at Trinity University in Washington, D.C. and at Prince George's Community College. His classes are held on Tuesdays and Thursdays from 6:00 pm until 10:00 pm. The claimant is seeking employment in the security field or animal caretaking field. The claimant maintained a full-time job while attending his part-time college classes.

PHONE: 410.767.2781 • FAX: 410.767.2787 • TTY Users, Call Via The Maryland Relay Service

The General Assembly declared that, in its considered judgment, the public good and the general welfare of the citizens of the State required the enactment of the Unemployment Insurance Law, under the police powers of the State, for the compulsory setting aside of unemployment reserves to be used for the benefit of individuals unemployed through no fault of their own. *Md. Code Ann., Lab. & Empl. Art., § 8-102(c)*. Unemployment compensation laws are to be read liberally in favor of eligibility, and disqualification provisions are to be strictly construed. *Sinai Hosp. of Baltimore v. Dept. of Empl. & Training, 309 Md. 28 (1987)*.

The Board reviews the record *de novo* and may affirm, modify, or reverse the findings of fact or conclusions of law of the hearing examiner on the basis of evidence submitted to the hearing examiner, or evidence that the Board may direct to be taken, or may remand any case to a hearing examiner for purposes it may direct. *Md. Code Ann., Lab. & Empl. Art., § 8-510(d)*; *COMAR 09.32.06.04(H)(1)*. The Board fully inquires into the facts of each particular case. *COMAR 09.32.06.02(E)*.

The claimant has the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that he is able, available and actively seeking work. *Md. Code Ann., Lab. & Empl. Art., § 8-903.* A claimant may not impose conditions and limitations on his willingness to work and still be available as the statute requires. *Robinson v. Md. Empl. Sec. Bd, 202 Md. 515, 519 (1953).* A denial of unemployment insurance benefits is warranted if the evidence supports a finding that the claimant was unavailable for work. *Md. Empl. Sec. Bd. v. Poorbaugh, 195 Md. 197, 198 (1950); compare Laurel Racing Ass'n Ltd. P'shp v. Babendreier, 146 Md. App. 1, 21 (2002).*

A claimant should actively seek work in those fields in which he is most likely to obtain employment. Goldman v. Allen's Auto Supply, 1123-BR-82; also see and compare Laurel Racing Ass'n Ltd. P'shp v. Babendreier, 146 Md. App. 1 (2002).

The term "available for work" as used in § 8-903 means, among other things, a general willingness to work demonstrated by an active and reasonable search to obtain work. Plaugher v. Preston Trucking, 279-BH-84. A claimant need not make herself available to a specific employer, particularly when the employer cannot guarantee her work, in order to be available as the statute requires. Laurel Racing Ass'n Ltd. P'shp v. Babendreier, $146 \, Md. \, App. \, 1, \, 22 \, (2002)$.

Section 8-903 provides that a claimant must be able to work, available to work, and actively seeking work in each week for which benefits are claimed.

While \S 8-903 does not demand that a claimant look for work 24 hours per day, seven days per week, looking for work must be a claimant's primary activity. When school studies are the claimant's primary focus and interfere with the primary activity of seeking work and negatively affect a claimant's "ability and availability" to accept all appropriate employment offers, the claimant does not meet the eligibility requirements of \S 8-903. See, e.g., In re: Poole, 145-BH-84.

A claimant whose school schedule does not materially affect his job search, on the other hand, may be able and available for work within the meaning of \S 8-903. See, e.g., In re: Clasing, 95-BH-90 (the claimant's attendance two hours per week in an educational program did not interfere with his ability to work or with his work search). There is no reason to disqualify a claimant under the availability provisions when his part-time classes have been arranged to be flexible enough to change to accommodate any work schedule. In re: Mallet, 1132-BR-92. In addition, a claimant who, although attending school, continues to look for full-time work and would adjust her school schedule or give up school upon receiving permanent full-time work is able, available and actively seeking work. Drew-Winfield v. Patuxent Medical Group, 87-BH-87.

In a similar case, the claimant was available for work under § 8-903 where there were only a few hours per week when the claimant was not available for work, and where his work history shows in general an ability to conform to the requirements of a normal work day and also attend school on a part-time schedule. *Dawson v. Bayliner Marine Corporation*, 360-BR-90.

The Board finds based upon a preponderance of the credible evidence that the claimant met his burden of demonstrating that he was able, available, and actively seeking work within the meaning of *Robinson v. Md. Empl. Sec. Bd.*, 202 Md. 515 (1953) and §8-903. The decision of the hearing examiner shall be reversed for the reasons stated herein.

DECISION

The claimant is able to work, available for work and actively seeking work within the meaning of Maryland Code Annotated, Labor and Employment Article, Title 8, Section 903. Benefits are allowed from the week beginning September 26, 2010.

The Hearing Examiner's decision is reversed.

Eileen M. Rehrmann, Associate Member

Donna Watts-Lamont, Chairperson

RD

Copies mailed to:
PASCAL NNADI C. NNADI
SUSAN BASS DLLR
Susan Bass, Office of the Assistant Secretary

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS DECISION

PASCAL NNADI C NNADI

Before the:

Maryland Department of Labor,

Licensing and Regulation

Division of Appeals

1100 North Eutaw Street

Room 511

Baltimore, MD 21201

(410) 767-2421

SSN#

Claimant

VS.

Appeal Number: 1042119

Appellant: Claimant

Local Office: 61 / COLLEGE PARK

CLAIM CENTER

Employer/Agency

December 17, 2010

For the Claimant: PRESENT, C JUDE IWEANOGE

For the Employer:

For the Agency:

ISSUE(S)

Whether the claimant is able, available for work and actively seeking work within the meaning of the MD Code Annotated, Labor and Employment Article, Title 8 Sections 903 and 904; and/or whether the claimant is entitled to sick claim benefits within the meaning of Section 8-907.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Claimant filed for unemployment insurance benefits establishing a benefit year effective September 26, 2010, with a weekly benefit amount of \$410.00.

The Claimant is currently enrolled as a student at Trinity University in Washington, D.C. and Prince George's Community College. His classes are held on Tuesdays and Thursdays 6:00 p.m. until 10:00 p.m. Claimant is seeking employment in the security field or animal caretaking field. If the Claimant were offered work that conflicted with his school schedule, he would not be able to accept it. The Claimant cannot change his class schedule and cannot drop his classes.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Md. Code Ann., Labor of Emp. Article, Section 8-903 provides that a claimant for unemployment insurance benefits shall be (1) able to work; (2) available for work; and (3) actively seeking work. In <u>Robinson v. Maryland Employment Sec. Bd.</u>, 202 Md. 515, 97 A.2d 300 (1953), the Court of Appeals held that a claimant may not impose restrictions upon his or her willingness to work and still be available as the statute requires.

A claimant attending an educational institution does not normally meet the requirements of Md. Code Ann., Labor & Emp. Article, Section 8-903 which provides that a claimant for unemployment insurance benefits must be able, available and actively seeking work. School attendance normally operates as a substantial restriction upon availability for work.

However, a claimant for unemployment insurance benefits who is a student will not be disqualified from the receipt of benefits pursuant to Section 8-903 if he or she can demonstrate that he or she is genuinely attached to the work force, despite attendance at school. Student status is not disqualifying per se, but the claimant must demonstrate that he or she is primarily a worker who also goes to school, rather than a student who works. <u>Drew-Winfield v. Patuxent Medical Group</u>, 87-BH-87.

A claimant who, although attending school, continues to look for full-time work and would adjust her school schedule or give up school upon receiving permanent full-time work is able, available and actively seeking work. <u>Drew-Winfield v. Patuxent Medical Group</u>, 87-BH-87.

EVALUATION OF EVIDENCE

The Hearing Examiner considered all of the testimony and evidence of record in reaching this decision. Where the evidence was in conflict, the Hearing Examiner decided the facts on the credible evidence as determined by the Hearing Examiner.

The Claimant had the burden to show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is in compliance with Agency requirements. In the case at bar, that burden has not been met. The Claimant admitted that he would be unable to accept any job that conflicted with his school schedule. Accordingly, the claimant's school attendance does impose a substantial restriction on his availability for work. Therefore, the Claimant has failed to demonstrate that he is in compliance with the requirements of Section 8-903 and benefits must be denied at this time.

DECISION

IT IS HELD THAT the claimant is not fully able, available and actively seeking work within the meaning of Md. Code Ann., Labor & Emp. Article, Section 8-903. Benefits are denied for the week beginning September 26, 2010, and until the claimant is fully able, available and actively seeking work without material restriction.

The determination of the Claims Specialist is modified.

N Grimes

N Grimes, Esq. Hearing Examiner

Notice of Right to Request Waiver of Overpayment

The Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation may seek recovery of any overpayment received by the Claimant. Pursuant to Section 8-809 of the Labor and Employment Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, and Code of Maryland Regulations 09.32.07.01 through 09.32.07.09, the Claimant has a right to request a waiver of recovery of this overpayment. This request may be made by contacting Overpayment Recoveries Unit at 410-767-2404. If this request is made, the Claimant is entitled to a hearing on this issue.

A request for waiver of recovery of overpayment does not act as an appeal of this decision.

Esto es un documento legal importante que decide si usted recibirá los beneficios del seguro del desempleo. Si usted disiente de lo que fue decidido, usted tiene un tiempo limitado a apelar esta decisión. Si usted no entiende cómo apelar, usted puede contactar (301) 313-8000 para una explicación.

Notice of Right of Further Appeal

Any party may request a further appeal <u>either</u> in person, by facsimile or by mail with the Board of Appeals. Under COMAR 09.32.06.01A (1) appeals may not be filed by e-mail. Your appeal must be filed by January 3, 2011. You may file your request for further appeal in person at or by mail to the following address:

Board of Appeals 1100 North Eutaw Street Room 515 Baltimore, Maryland 21201 Fax 410-767-2787 Phone 410-767-2781

NOTE: Appeals filed by mail are considered timely on the date of the U.S. Postal Service postmark.

Date of hearing: December 03, 2010

BLP/Specialist ID: WCP1H

Seq No: 001

Copies mailed on December 17, 2010 to:

PASCAL NNADI C. NNADI LOCAL OFFICE #61 SUSAN BASS DLLR