IN THE MATTER OF:

NATIONAL RELIEF GROUP INC., and

BRIAN J. PACIOS,

Respondents.

BEFORE THE MARYLAND
COMMISSIONER OF
FINANCIAL REGULATION

Case No.: CFR-FY2010-361

## FINAL ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Financial Regulation (the "Commissioner") conducted an investigation into the credit services business activities of National Relief Group Inc. ("National Relief Group") and Brian J. Pacios, (collectively the "Respondents"); and

WHEREAS, as a result of that investigation, the Deputy Commissioner of Financial Regulation (the "Deputy Commissioner") found evidence to support that Respondents have engaged, and continue to engage, in acts or practices constituting a violation of a law, regulation, rule or order over which the Commissioner has jurisdiction, namely that Respondents have violated various provisions of the Annotated Code of Maryland, including Commercial Law Article ("CL"), Title14, Subtitle 19, (the Maryland Credit Services Businesses Act, hereinafter "MCSBA"), and Financial Institutions Article ("FI"), Title 11, Subtitles 2 and 3; and

WHEREAS, the Deputy Commissioner issued a Summary Order to Cease and Desist (the "Summary Order") against Respondents on September 24, 2010, after determining that Respondents were in violation of the aforementioned provisions of

Maryland law, and that it was in the public interest that Respondents cease and desist from engaging in credit services business activities with Maryland residents, homeowners and/or consumers (hereinafter "Maryland consumers"), including directly or indirectly offering, contracting to provide, or otherwise engaging in, loan modification, loss mitigation, or similar services related to residential real property (hereinafter "loan modification services"); and

WHEREAS, the Summary Order notified Respondents of, among other things, the following: that Respondents were entitled to a hearing before the Commissioner to determine whether the Summary Order should be vacated, modified, or entered as a final order of the Commissioner; that the Summary Order would be entered as a final order if Respondents did not request a hearing within 15 days of the receipt of the Summary Order; and that as a result of a hearing, or of Respondents' failure to request a hearing, the Commissioner may, in the Commissioner's discretion and in addition to taking any other action authorized by law, enter an order making the Summary Order final, issue penalty orders against Respondents, issue orders requiring Respondents to pay restitution and other money to consumers, as well as take other actions related to Respondents' business activities; and

WHEREAS, the Summary Order was properly served on Respondents via First Class U.S. Mail and Certified U.S. Mail; and

WHEREAS, Respondents failed to request a hearing on the Summary Order within the fifteen (15) day period set forth in FI § 2-115(a)(2) and have not filed a request for a hearing as of the date of this Final Order to Cease and Desist (this "Final Order"); and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner has based his decision in this Final Order on the following determinations:

- 1. The MCSBA defines "credit services business" at CL § 14-1901(e); this provision provides, in part, as follows:
  - (1) "Credit services business" means any person who, with respect to the extension of credit by others, sells, provides, or performs, or represents that such person can or will sell, provide, or perform, any of the following services in return for the payment of money or other valuable consideration:
  - (i) Improving a consumer's credit record, history, or rating or establishing a new credit file or record;
    - (ii) Obtaining an extension of credit for a consumer; or
  - (iii) Providing advice or assistance to a consumer with regard to either subparagraph (i) or (ii) of this paragraph.

Additionally, CL § 14-1903(f) defines "extension of credit" as "the right to defer payment of debt or to incur debt and defer its payment, offered or granted primarily for personal, family, or household purposes."

2. The activities of persons engaged in the business of offering or providing loan modification services customarily include obtaining extensions of credit for consumers, namely obtaining forbearance or other deferrals of payment on consumers' mortgage loans. This includes any offered services intended as part of the loan modification process, or which are represented to consumers to be necessary for participating in a loan modification program. Under certain circumstances, loan modification services may involve improving a consumer's credit record, history, or rating or establishing a new credit file or record. Therefore, unless otherwise exempt, pursuant to CL §§ 14-1901(e), 14-1903(a), and 14-1903(f), persons engaged in the business of offering or providing residential loan modification services, which include offering or providing extensions of credit to

consumers, fall under the statutory definition of "credit services businesses," and are thereby subject to the licensing, investigatory, enforcement, and penalty provisions of the MCSBA.

- 3. The following relevant and credible evidence, obtained pursuant to the Commissioner's investigation, was considered in the issuance of the Summary Order: Respondents' standard documents for providing loan modification services for Maryland consumers: communications between Respondents and Maryland consumers; communications between Respondents and the Commissioner's staff, statements by a Maryland consumer who had entered into a loan modification agreement with Respondents but for whom Respondents failed to obtain or even attempt to obtain a loan modification for the consumer; and the Commissioner's licensing records. More particularly, this evidence supports the following findings:
- a. Respondent National Relief Group is an active California corporation operating out of Irvine, California. Further, the Commissioner's investigation revealed that National Relief Group engages in business activities with Maryland consumers involving Maryland residential real property, although it is not a registered business entity in the State of Maryland.
- b. Respondent Brian J. Pacios engages in business activities with Maryland consumers involving Maryland residential real property. Brian J. Pacios is the owner, director, officer, manager, employees and/or agent, and agent for service of process of National Relief Group.
- c. Respondents advertised and marketed to Maryland consumers that Respondents could obtain loan modifications for homeowners on their residential mortgages. Further, Respondents entered into agreements to provide loan modification

services, which included obtaining extensions of credit as defined by the MCSBA, for Maryland consumers on their residential mortgage loans.

- d. In September 2009, ("Consumer A") entered into a loan modification agreement with Respondents. Consumer A paid approximately \$3,000 in up-front fees to Respondents in exchange for which Respondents represented that they would be able to obtain a loan modification for Consumer A. Although Respondents collected \$3,000 in up-front fees, Respondents never obtained a loan modification for Consumer A. Further, Consumer A requested a refund of the up-front fees, but the Respondents have yet to provide a refund.
- e. During the course of the Commissioner's investigation, on or about July 9, 2010, the Commissioner served a subpoena on Respondents ordering them to produce all documents in their control in any way related to their loan modification services provided to Maryland consumers by July 26, 2010. Respondents, in response to the subpoena, sent a letter to the Commissioner listing the names of two Maryland consumers whom they had "found" as of the date of the letter Consumer A and a second Maryland consumer, ("Consumer B"), who had purportedly paid \$3,000 in up-front fees to Respondents in exchange for loan modification services. Respondents have yet to produce the documents and information required by the July 9<sup>th</sup> subpoena.
- f. Respondents engaged in willful conduct which was intended to deceive and defraud Maryland consumers, as referenced above, which demonstrated a complete lack of good faith and fair dealings by Respondents, and which breached any duties that Respondents owed to these consumers. Such conduct included, but was not limited to, the following:

- (i). Respondents failed to perform those loan modification services for Maryland Consumer A that they promised to provide and for which they had collected an up-front fee;
- (ii). Respondents purposely concealed this information when contacted by Maryland Consumer A who had entered into a loan modification agreement with Respondents by intentionally misrepresenting the progress of her loan modification.; and
- (iii). Finally, Respondents refused to provide a refund to Maryland Consumer A when a refund was due for lack of service.
- 4. In the present matter, Respondents are subject to the MCSBA, including its prohibition on engaging in credit services business activities without first being licensed under the MCSBA. See CL § 14-1902(1) ("[a] credit services business, its employees, and independent contractors who sell or attempt to sell the services of a credit services business shall not: (1) [r]eceive any money or other valuable consideration from the consumer, unless the credit services business has secured from the Commissioner a license under Title 11, Subtitle 3 of the Financial Institutions Article. . . ."); CL §14-1903(b) ("[a] credit services business is required to be licensed under this subtitle and is subject to the licensing, investigatory, enforcement, and penalty provisions of this subtitle and Title 11, Subtitle 3 of the Financial Institutions Article"); FI § 11-302 ("[u]nless the person is licensed by the Commissioner, a person may not: . . . (3) [e]ngage in the business of a credit services business as defined under Title 14, Subtitle 19 of the Commercial Law Article"); and FI § 11-303 ("[a] license under this subtitle shall be applied for and issued in accordance with,

and is subject to, the licensing and investigatory provisions of Subtitle 2 of this title, the Maryland Consumer Loan Law – Licensing Provisions").

- 5. According to the Commissioner's records, at no time relevant to the facts set forth in the Summary Order of September 24, 2010, or in the present Final Order, have the Respondents been licensed by the Commissioner under the MCSBA.
- 6. Respondents have engaged in credit services business activities without having the requisite license by advertising that they could provide loan modification services as described above, and by entering into contractual agreements with Maryland consumers to provide such services. Respondents' unlicensed loan modification activities thus constitute violations of CL § 14-1902(1), CL §14-1903(b), FI § 11-302, and FI § 11-303, thereby subjecting Respondents to the penalty provisions of the MCSBA.
- 7. Additionally, by collecting up-front fees prior to fully and completely performing all services on behalf of consumers, Respondents violated CL § 14-1902(6) of the MCSBA ("[a] credit services business, its employees, and independent contractors who sell or attempt to sell the services of a credit services business shall not: . . . (6) [c]harge or receive any money or other valuable consideration prior to full and complete performance of the services that the credit services business has agreed to perform for or on behalf of the consumer").
- 8. Further, although Respondents made representations that they would obtain beneficial loan modifications for Maryland Consumer A, the Commissioner's investigation supports a finding that Respondents never obtained the promised loan modification for this consumer; as such, Respondents violated CL § 14-1902(4) ("[a] credit services business, its employees, and independent contractors who sell or attempt to sell the services of a credit

services business shall not: . . . (4) [m]ake or use any false or misleading representations in the offer or sale of the services of a credit services business").

- 9. Respondents further violated the MCSBA through the following: they failed to obtain the requisite surety bonds, in violation of to CL §§ 14-1908 and 14-1909; they failed to provide consumers with the requisite information statements, in violation of CL §§ 14-1904 and 14-1905; and Respondents failed to include all of the requisite contractual terms in their agreements with consumers as required under CL § 14-1906.
- 10. By failing to obtain beneficial loan modifications for Maryland Consumer A which Respondents had agreed to provide, Respondents breached their contract with Maryland Consumer A and/or breached the obligations arising under that contract. Such breaches constitute *per se* violations of the MCSBA pursuant to CL § 14-1907(a) ("[a]ny breach by a credit services business of a contract under this subtitle, or of any obligation arising under it, shall constitute a violation of this subtitle").
- 11. As the contract between Respondents and Consumer A failed to comply with the specific requirements imposed by the MCSBA (as discussed above), the loan modification contract between Respondents and Maryland Consumer A is void and unenforceable as against the public policy of the State of Maryland pursuant to CL § 14-1907(b) ("[a]ny contract for services from a credit services business that does not comply with the applicable provisions of this subtitle shall be void and unenforceable as contrary to the public policy of this State").
- 12. The MCSBA prohibits fraud and deceptive business practices at CL § 14-1902(5), which provides as follows:

- [a] credit services business, its employees, and independent contractors who sell or attempt to sell the services of a credit services business shall not: . . . (5) [e]ngage, directly or indirectly, in any act, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deception on any person in connection with the offer or sale of the services of a credit services business.
- 13. CL § 14-1912 discusses liability for failing to comply with the MCSBA, providing as follows:
  - (a) Willful noncompliance.— Any credit services business which willfully fails to comply with any requirement imposed under this subtitle with respect to any consumer is liable to that consumer in an amount equal to the sum of:
  - (1) Any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a result of the failure;
  - (2) A monetary award equal to 3 times the total amount collected from the consumer, as ordered by the Commissioner;
  - (3) Such amount of punitive damages as the court may allow; and
  - (4) In the case of any successful action to enforce any liability under this section, the costs of the action together with reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the court.
  - (b) Negligent noncompliance.— Any credit services business which is negligent in failing to comply with any requirement imposed under this subtitle with respect to any consumer is liable to that consumer in an amount equal to the sum of:
  - (1) Any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a result of the failure; and
  - (2) In the case of any successful action to enforce any liability under this section, the cost of the action together with reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the court.
- 14. Respondents engaged, directly or indirectly, in acts, practices, or other activities which operated as a fraud or deception on persons in connection with the offer or sale of the services of a credit services business, and thereby violated CL § 14-1902(5); such actions also constituted willful noncompliance with the MCSBA under CL § 14-1912(a). Respondents' fraudulent, deceptive, and willful conduct included the following: they failed

to perform those loan modification services for Maryland Consumer A which they promised to provide and for which they had collected up-front fees; Respondents purposely concealed this information when contacted by Maryland Consumer A who had already entered into a loan modification agreement with Respondents by intentionally misrepresenting the progress of the Consumer A's loan modification; and Respondents refused to provide a refund to Maryland Consumer A when such a refund was due for lack of service.

- 15. FI §§ 2-114(a) and (b) set forth the Commissioner's general authority to order the production of information, as well as documents and records, while investigating potential violations of laws, regulations, rules, and orders over which the Commissioner has jurisdiction (which is in addition to the Commissioner's specific investigatory authority set forth in various other Maryland statutes and regulations). Thus, for example, FI § 2-114(a)(2) provides that the Commissioner may "[r]equire ... a person to file a statement in writing, under oath or otherwise as the Commissioner determines, as to all the facts and circumstances concerning the matter to be investigated." Further, pursuant to FI § 2-114(b), "the Commissioner or an officer designated by the Commissioner may," among other things, "take evidence, and require the production of books, papers, correspondence, memoranda, and agreements, or other documents or records which the Commissioner considers relevant or material to the inquiry."
- 16. Pursuant to the Commissioner's authority to conduct investigations under FI § 2-114, as discussed above, the Commissioner issued a subpoena to Respondents on July 9, 2010, ordering them to produce by July 26, 2010 all documents in their control in any way related to their loan modification services provided to Maryland consumers. However, Respondents failed to fully provide all the required information and documents by that date,

and in fact have not provided all the documents and information as of the date of this Final Order. Therefore, by failing to fully comply with the July 9<sup>th</sup> subpoena, Respondents are in violation of FI § 2-114.

NOW, THEREFORE, having determined that Respondents waived their right to a hearing in this matter by failing to request a hearing within the time period specified in the Summary Order, and pursuant CL §§ 14-1902, 14-1907, 14-1912, and FI § 2-115(b), it is by the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation, hereby

ORDERED that the Summary Order issued by the Deputy Commissioner against Respondents on September 24, 2010, is entered as a final order of the Commissioner as modified herein, and that Respondents shall permanently CEASE and DESIST from engaging in credit services business activities with Maryland consumers, including contracting to provide, or otherwise engaging in, loan modification, loss mitigation, or similar services with Maryland consumers; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to FI § 2-115(b), and upon careful consideration of (i) the seriousness of the Respondents' violations; (ii) the lack of good faith of Respondents, (iii) the history and ongoing nature of Respondents' violations; and (iv) the deleterious effect of Respondents' violations on the public and on the credit services businesses and mortgage industries, Respondents shall pay to the Commissioner a total civil money penalty in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$3,000), which consists of the following:

Prohibited Activity and Violation	Penalty per Violation	x Number of Violations	= Penalty
Unlicensed Activity in Violation of MCSBA	\$1,000	2 Md. Consumer	\$2,000
Charging Up-Front Fees in Violation of MCSBA	\$1,000	2 Md. Consumer	\$2,000
Failure to Comply with Summary Order in Violation of FI § 2-114	\$1,000	1 Violations	\$1,000
		TOTAL	\$5,000

And it is further,

**ORDERED** that Respondents shall pay to the Commissioner, by cashier's or certified check made payable to the "Commissioner of Financial Regulation," the amount of **FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS** (\$5,000.00) within fifteen (15) days from the date of this Final Order; and it is further

**ORDERED** that, pursuant to CL § 14-1907(b), all loan modification agreements which Respondents entered into with Maryland consumers described herein, are void and unenforceable as contrary to the public policy of the State of Maryland; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to CL §§ 14-1902, 14-1907, and 14-1912, Respondents shall pay restitution to each Maryland consumer with whom Respondents entered into loan modification agreements and collected up-front fees; and thus Respondents shall pay restitution of THREE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$3,000.00) to Consumer B, with whom Respondents entered into a loan modification agreement, with the total amount of restitution owed to Consumer B equaling THREE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$3,000.00); and that

with respect to Maryland Consumer A described herein, Respondents' activities constituted willful noncompliance with the MCSBA, and pursuant to CL § 14-1912(a) Respondents shall pay restitution to the following Maryland consumer in an amount equal to three times the amount collected from this consumer, and thus Respondents shall pay a monetary award of NINE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$9,000.00) to Consumer A, with whom Respondents entered into a loan modification agreement, with the total amount of the monetary award to Consumer A equaling NINE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$9,000.00) (consisting of the \$3,000.00 up-front fee collected from Consumer A, multiplied by three); and it is further

ORDERED that Respondents shall pay the required restitution and monetary award to those consumers described herein within 30 days of this Final Order being signed. Respondents shall make payment by mailing to each consumer a check in the amount specified above via U.S. First Class Mail at the most recent address of that consumer known to the Respondents. If the mailing of a payment is returned as undeliverable by the U.S. Postal Service, Respondents shall promptly notify the Commissioner in writing for further instruction as to the means of the making of said payment. Upon the making of the required payments, the Respondents shall furnish evidence of having made the payments to the Commissioner within ninety (90) days of this Final Order being signed, which evidence shall consist of a copy of the front and back of the cancelled check for each payment; and it is further

ORDERED that Respondents shall send all correspondence, notices, civil penalties and other required submissions to the Commissioner at the following address: Commissioner of Financial Regulation, 500 North Calvert Street, Suite 402, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, Attn: Proceedings Administrator..

MARYLAND COMMISSIONER OF FINANCIAL REGULATION

Date

Mark A. Kaufman Commissioner